

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

TRUSTEES OF CARPENTERS' PENSION TRUST  
FUND - DETROIT AND VICINITY; TRUSTEES OF  
THE CARPENTER'S WORKING DUES FUND;  
TRUSTEES OF THE CARPENTERS' BUILDING  
FUND;

Plaintiffs,

Case No.: 2019-13363  
Hon. Gershwin A. Drain  
Magistrate Judge: Michael J.  
Hluchaniuk

v.

PONCRAFT DOOR CO., INC., a Michigan  
Corporation and KEVIN FRENCH, an individual,  
jointly and severally.

Defendants.

---

NOVARA TESIJA & CATENACCI, PLLC

Bryan M. Beckerman (P51925)

Alexander A. Dombrow (P82753)

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

888 W. Big Beaver Rd., Suite 600

Troy, MI 48084

(248) 354-0380

[bmb@ntclaw.com](mailto:bmb@ntclaw.com)

[aad@ntclaw.com](mailto:aad@ntclaw.com)

---

**PLAINTIFFS' AMENDED COMPLAINT**

NOW COME, Plaintiffs, by and through their attorneys, NOVARA TESIJA &  
CATENACCI, PLLC, and for their Complaint, state as follows:

1. The Trustees of the Carpenters' Pension Trust Fund – Detroit and Vicinity;  
Trustees of the Carpenters' Working Dues Fund; Trustees of the Carpenters'

Building Fund (collectively "the Funds") are each a jointly-trusted fund established pursuant to Section 302 of the Labor Management Relations Act ("LMRA"), 29 U.S.C. Section 186 and Sections 302 and 515 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), 29 U.S.C. Sections 1132 and 1145, and bring this action on behalf of their individual participants.

2. The Michigan Regional Council of Carpenters (hereinafter "MRCC" or "Union") is a labor organization, as defined in Section 451 of the National Labor Relations Act, 29 U.S.C. § 152 (5), with its principal place of business located at 400 Renaissance Center, Suite 1010, Detroit, Michigan 48243.

3. Upon information, knowledge and belief, Defendant PONCRAFT DOOR CO., INC. ("Poncraft") is a Michigan corporation located at 2005 Pontiac Road, Auburn Hills, Michigan 48326, and is doing business in the State of Michigan.

4. Defendant KEVIN FRENCH ("French") is an owner, officer, director and shareholder of Poncraft, located at 2005 Pontiac Road, Auburn Hills, Michigan 48326, and is doing business in the State of Michigan.

5. Jurisdiction in this Court is founded on Section 301(a) of the LMRA, as amended, 29 U.S.C. Section 185(a) and Sections 502 and 515 of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. Section 1132 and 1145, as amended by the Multiemployer Pension Plan Amendment Act of 1980 ("MPPAA") and Federal common law. This Court also has supplemental jurisdiction of any state-law claims ancillary to the relief sought herein.

**COUNT I**  
**ERISA: DELINQUENT CONTRIBUTIONS**

6. Plaintiffs hereby re-allege and incorporate the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 5 of their Complaint as fully set forth herein.

7. Section 515 of ERISA provides that “every employer who is obligated to make contributions to a multi-employer plan under the terms of the plan or under the terms of a collectively bargained agreement shall, to the extent not inconsistent with law, make such contributions in accordance with the terms and conditions of such plan or such agreement.”

8. Section 502 of ERISA provides a federal forum for enforcement of the various duties imposed by ERISA, including, but not limited to, allowance of a lawsuit to enjoin any act which violates ERISA or to obtain other appropriate legal and equitable relief.

9. Pursuant to the collective bargaining agreement (hereinafter “CBA”) between Poncraft and the Union, Poncraft agreed to make employee fringe benefit contributions and assessments to the Funds for each employee covered by the CBA, which are individuals in the appropriate bargaining unit who perform covered work ("Employees"), and to be bound by the terms and conditions set forth in the Funds' Trust Agreements (the "Trust Agreements"). Defendants have a copy of all records within their files. Notwithstanding, a copy of the CBA, along with its signature page is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** and made a part hereof by reference and the relevant

Trust Agreements are attached hereto as **Exhibit 2, 2-1 and 2-2** and made a part hereof by reference.

10. The Funds are third-party beneficiaries of the parties' CBA.

11. Poncraft has violated both its contractual and statutory obligations by failing to make all of the fringe benefit contributions and assessments due on behalf of each Employee covered by the CBA and as incorporated in same, the relevant Trust Agreements.

12. As a result of Poncraft's violations of its contractual and statutory obligations, Defendants have violated ERISA, the CBA and the relevant Trust Agreements.

13. Plaintiffs are entitled to all remedies under ERISA, including, but not limited to, payment of fringe benefit contributions owed, audit assessments, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees and costs.

14. At all relevant times, Defendant Poncraft was bound to the parties' CBA, which includes, but is not limited to, the time period of January 2017 through September 2019.

15. The Funds have been damaged in such an amount as found due by the October 11, 2019 audit, being \$21,735.39 (see **Exhibit 3**, October 11, 2019 Audit, attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference).

16. Further, in operating Poncraft, Defendant French has, among other things, (a) failed to follow business formalities, (b) failed to adequately capitalize the business which has caused a failure to remit contributions to Plaintiffs, (c)

commingled personal and business assets, and (d) committed fraud by taking monies from the business while failing to make fringe benefit contributions to Plaintiffs.

17. An injustice will be imposed on Plaintiffs in the absence of individual liability because the ability of Defendant Poncraft to pay delinquencies owed to Plaintiffs is in serious doubt.

18. Defendant Poncraft has fraudulently avoided paying required benefit contributions to Plaintiffs and engaged in misconduct, which includes, but is not limited to, converting money paid to it for the purpose of paying benefit contributions to Plaintiff Funds and retaining monies required to be paid for the benefit of its employees.

19. As an officer, director, shareholder and person who makes decisions, French is responsible for Defendant Poncraft's compliance with its contractual and statutory obligations to Plaintiffs, notwithstanding, Defendant French failed to follow business formalities, had personal knowledge of Poncraft's undercapitalization, and with the requisite intent, fraudulently and improperly diverted monies which were to be paid for the benefit of its employees.

20. Because of Defendant French's actions and/or inactions cited within this Complaint, Plaintiffs have suffered financial losses.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request that the Honorable Court grant the following relief:

- a. Enter a judgment in the Plaintiffs' favor against Poncraft and French for \$21,735.39, as found owing by said audit, together with any accumulated interest thereon, actual attorney fees, court costs, audit and other collection costs and other sums as may become due or discovered to be due the Funds during the pendency of this action;
- b. That jurisdiction of this matter be retained pending compliance with the Court's orders; and
- c. Any such other, further or different relief as may be just and equitable under the circumstances.

**COUNT II**  
**BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTIES**

21. Plaintiffs hereby re-allege and incorporate the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 20 of their Complaint as fully set forth herein.

**General**

22. Participants of the Funds were employed by Poncraft to perform work and provide labor on various construction projects in the State of Michigan.

23. The construction projects were within the Union's jurisdiction pursuant to the CBA executed by the parties.

24. The participants of the Funds provided labor and services, on behalf of Poncraft, pursuant to the parties' CBA and thus earned outstanding fringe benefit contributions in connection with and in furtherance of their employment and labor on said projects.

25. Poncraft was obligated to pay fringe benefit contributions to the Funds for these participants on said projects.

26. The outstanding accrued fringe benefit contributions were properly payable to the Funds administered by Plaintiffs when due.

27. Poncraft received monies on said projects but failed to pay all of the fringe benefit contributions owed the Funds on said projects when due.

28. The accrued fringe benefit contributions and monies in Poncrafts' possession, custody and/or control from said projects which were available to pay the participants' accrued fringe benefit contributions were to be held in trust by Defendants in their capacity as fiduciaries, as required by ERISA, 29 U.S.C. §1145, until Defendants paid to Plaintiffs said fringe benefit contributions in accordance with the terms and conditions of their CBA and Trust Agreements.

29. The Trust Agreements for the Funds, incorporated by the relevant CBA (see **Exhibit 2**, Fourth Amendment, Article II, Section 2.3, Pages 22-23; **Exhibit 2-1**, Article VI, Section 8, Page 23; and **Exhibit 2-2**, Article II, Section 2.3, Page 34-35, attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference) provide that unpaid contributions become plan assets at the time they become due.

30. Defendants, including the individual Defendant, being French had actual and/or constructive notice of the above-referenced Trust Agreements and their respective amendments.

31. Thus, Poncraft accrued fringe benefit contributions owed to the Funds on said projects, that became plan assets of the respective Funds administered by Plaintiffs at the time they became due as provided for in the Trust Agreement and Plan documents, within the meaning of ERISA §3(21)(A); 29 U.S.C. §1002(21)(A).

32. ERISA provides that a person is a fiduciary with respect to the Funds to the extent that the person exercises any discretionary authority or control with the respect to the disposition of Plan assets. ERISA §3(21)(A); 29 U.S.C. § 1002(21)(A).

33. The Owner, officer, director and/or manager of Poncraft is considered a fiduciary of the Funds based upon the conduct and authority of said person, including, but not limited to, his personal exercise of discretionary authority and control over the Funds' assets.

**KEVIN FRENCH**

34. KEVIN FRENCH as an owner, officer, director and/or manager of Poncraft, upon information, knowledge and belief, personally exercised discretionary authority and control over the disposition of Poncraft's accrued fringe benefit contributions, being plan assets recognized by ERISA.

35. KEVIN FRENCH, as an owner, officer, director and/or manager of Poncraft is considered a fiduciary of the Funds based upon his conduct and authority over the contributions associated with same.

36. Upon information, knowledge and belief, KEVIN FRENCH, as an owner, officer, director and/or manager of Poncraft, personally exercised discretionary authority and control over the disposition of Poncraft's accrued fringe benefit contributions, which are plan assets as defined by ERISA and the relevant Trust Agreement and Plan documents.



37. Since KEVIN FRENCH, as an owner, officer, director and/or manager of Poncraft, personally exercised discretionary authority and control over Poncraft's accrued fringe benefit contributions, being plan assets, he is a fiduciary of the Funds.

38. Although legally and contractually obligated to do so, KEVIN FRENCH failed to turn over the above-mentioned plan assets by failing to pay all of the accrued outstanding fringe benefit contributions to Plaintiffs when due.

39. Upon information, knowledge and belief, KEVIN FRENCH utilized the accrued outstanding fringe benefit contributions and monies within his possession, custody and/or control for purposes other than payment to Plaintiffs.

40. In failing to turn over such plan assets to Plaintiffs, KEVIN FRENCH violated 29 U.S.C. §§1145, 1104 and 1109, and his obligations under the CBA and Trust Agreements.

41. KEVIN FRENCH's failure to turn over the plan assets, failure to make fringe benefit contributions to Plaintiffs, failure to account for those contributions, and misuse of funds and monies otherwise payable to Plaintiffs, constitutes a breach of fiduciary duties regarding the Funds pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1104(a)(1)(A) and are violations of 29 U.S.C. §§ 1145, 1104 and 1109(a).

42. Thus, by directing that Poncraft's assets, which were owed to the Funds, be paid for other purposes instead of being deposited with the Funds for their participants and beneficiaries, KEVIN FRENCH failed to discharge his fiduciary duties with respect to the Funds solely in the interest of the participants and beneficiaries as required by ERISA 404(a)(1); 29 U.S.C. §1104(a)(1).

43. ERISA imposes personal liability on a fiduciary who breaches fiduciary duties. 29 U.S.C. §1109.

44. As a result of his above-mentioned actions, KEVIN FRENCH breached his fiduciary duties owed to the Funds and is thus, personally liable to the Funds for such breaches.

45. Defendant KEVIN FRENCH knew or should have known that he would be held personally liable for his above-referenced actions.

46. ERISA also provides that if there is a loss caused by a breach of fiduciary duty, the fiduciary must make the Plan whole by restoring any losses caused by the breach. 29 U.S.C. §1109.

47. Due to the above-mentioned actions of KEVIN FRENCH, the loss to the Funds is \$21,735.39.

48. KEVIN FRENCH, as a fiduciary, must make the Funds whole by restoring the above-mentioned losses of \$21,735.39, to the Funds.

49. As stated within, the Funds have been damaged in an amount of \$21,735.39 because of KEVIN FRENCH's breach of his fiduciary duties.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request that the Court grant the following relief:

- a. Enter a judgment in the Plaintiffs' favor against Poncraft and KEVIN FRENCH for \$21,735.39 as found owing by said audit, together with any accumulated interest thereon, actual attorney fees, court costs, audit and other collection costs and other sums as may become due or discovered to be due the Funds during the pendency of this action;
- b. That jurisdiction of this matter be retained pending compliance with the Court's orders; and

- c. Enter a declaratory order finding that Poncraft is bound by the CBA; and
- d. Any such other, further or different relief as may be just and equitable under the circumstances.

**COUNT III**  
**BUILDERS TRUST FUND**

50. Plaintiffs hereby re-allege and incorporate the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 49 of their Complaint as fully set forth herein.

51. Participants of the Funds were employed by Poncraft to perform work on various construction projects in the State of Michigan.

52. Poncraft has failed to pay all of the fringe benefit contributions owed the Funds on said projects.

53. The Michigan Building Contract Fund Act (the "Act"), MCLR 570.151 et seq., imposes a trust on funds paid to a building contractor with subcontractors and material men as beneficiaries of said trust.

54. Under the Act, Poncraft and KEVIN FRENCH is a fiduciary and trustee for the Funds' participants, who are the beneficiaries of all monies paid to Poncraft on said projects.

55. The Defendants have failed to pay over to the Funds the monies held in trust and covered by the Act, which are due the Plaintiffs.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request that the Court grant the following relief:

- a. Enter a judgment in the Plaintiffs' favor against Defendants Poncraft and KEVIN FRENCH for no less than \$21,735.39 as found owing by said audit together with any accumulated interest thereon, actual attorney fees, court costs, audit and other collection costs and such

other sums as may become due the Funds during the pendency of this action;

- b. That jurisdiction of this matter be retained, pending compliance with the Court's orders; and
- c. Any such other further or different relief as may be just and equitable under the circumstances.

Respectfully submitted,

NOVARA TESIJA & CATENACCI, PLLC

BY: /s/Bryan M. Beckerman  
Bryan M. Beckerman (P51925)  
Alexander A. Dombrow (P82753)  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
888 W. Big Beaver Rd., Suite 600  
Troy, MI 48084  
(248) 354-0380  
[bmb@ntclaw.com](mailto:bmb@ntclaw.com)  
[aad@ntclaw.com](mailto:aad@ntclaw.com)

Dated: November 13, 2019

W:\FUNDS\Mrcc\LITIGATION\Poncraft Cement Wall, Inc\Pleadings\Complaint 10.7.19-DRAFT.Docx